

Partition function of free conformal fields in 3-plet representation

Arkady Tseytlin

M. Beccaria and AT arXiv:1703.04460

AdS_{d+1}/CFT_d

free boundary CFT_d

- (i) “vectorial”: Φ in fundamental of $U(N)$ or $O(N)$
- (ii) “adjoint”: Φ in adjoint of $U(N)$ or $O(N)$

vectorial: bilinear “single-trace” operators $\Phi_i^* \partial \dots \partial \Phi_i$

adjoint: multilinear single-trace operators $\text{tr}(\Phi \partial \dots \partial \Phi \partial \dots \partial \Phi \dots \partial \Phi)$

any $d = 3, 4, \dots$ and any free conformal field Φ is ok

- adjoint AdS/CFT: e.g. $\lambda = g_{\text{YM}}^2 N = 0$ limit of AdS₅/CFT₄ should be related to tensionless limit of string theory in AdS

- dual higher spin theory in AdS:

contains infinite set of (massless and massive) HS fields in AdS
dual to primary operators in boundary CFT

Higher representations of internal symmetry ?

- CFT field belonging e.g. to 3-fundamental ("3-plet") general or symmetric or antisymmetric 3-index tensor

- many more irreducible singlet operators:

instead of 1d ("circle") single trace $\Phi^{ij}\partial\dots\partial\Phi^{jk}\partial\dots\partial\Phi^{kn}\dots\partial\Phi^{ni}$
"spatial" contractions, e.g. "tetrahedron" or "pyramid" like

$$\Phi^{ijk}\partial\dots\partial\Phi^{kmn}\partial\dots\partial\Phi^{njp}\dots\partial\Phi^{pmi}, \text{ etc}$$

[tensor theories, "triangulation" of 3-spaces]

- spectrum of "single-trace" operators with more than two fields dual to massive fields in AdS – more intricate than in adjoint

"tensionless membrane" interpretation?

- coefficient in front of dual AdS field theory action will be N^3 (to match large N scaling of 3-point correlation functions)

cf. $AdS_7 \times S^7$ for M5-brane

Finite T singlet partition function Z :

encodes spectrum of "single-trace" ops in small T expansion

- vector case: singlet large N Z for $U(N)$ [Shenker, Yin 11]

and $O(N)$ [Giombi, Klebanov, AT 14; Jevicki et al 14]

matched to massless HS partition functions in AdS

- adjoint case: [Sundborg 99; Polyakov 01; Aharony et al 03]

matching Z to AdS partition function [Bae, Joung, Lal 16]

- phase transition at larger $T_c \sim N^\gamma \gg 1$ in vector

and $T \sim 1$ in adjoint case

dual AdS interpretation (finite-size black hole) in adjoint case

- aim: compute singlet Z for free CFT in a 3-plet rep;

analyse its small T expansion and match to direct operator count;

large N matrix model \rightarrow phase transition at $T = \frac{a}{\log N} \rightarrow 0$

Heuristic motivation: (2,0) tensor multiplet as M5-brane theory

- single M5-brane: 11d sugra solution – free 6d CFT – (2,0) tensor multiplet as w-volume theory: selfdual $H_{\mu\nu\lambda} = 3\partial_{[\mu} B_{\nu\lambda]}$, 5 ϕ_r and 2 Weyl ψ_a
- analogy with multiple D-branes connected by open strings [low energy – SYM – N^2 vector multiplets at weak coupling matching leading N^2 scaling in dual supergravity] need N^3 (2,0) multiplets to match N^3 scaling in 11d sugra
- conjecture: N^3 scaling of observables of multiple M5-branes explained in terms of M2-branes ending on 3 M5-branes: triple M5-brane connections by “pants-like” membrane surfaces provide dominant contribution [Klebanov, AT 96] leading to 3-index world volume fields
- 6d superconformal theory of multiple M5-branes?

(2, 0) tensor multiplets in 3-tensor rep of $SU(N)$ or $SO(N)$

[Bastianelli, Frolov, AT 99]

$(B_{\mu\nu}^{ijk}, \phi_r^{ijk}, \psi_a^{ijk}), \quad i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, N: \quad \dim \propto N^3$

• alternatively, interacting (2,0) tensor multiplets as low-E limit of tensionless 6d string: closed strings carrying 3-plet indices from virtual membranes connecting 3 parallel M5-branes

[cf. $H_{\mu\nu\lambda}^{ijk} = dB_{\mu\nu}^{ijk}$ and $F_{\mu\nu}^{ij}$ in open string (adjoint) case]

• many open questions: interacting $L = H_{\mu\nu\lambda}^{ijk} + \dots$ – conf inv?
only at quantum level – interacting fixed point?

• existence of well-defined large N limit ?

analogy with tensor models [Klebanov, Tarnapolsky 16]

connection with $d = 1$ SYK model [Gurau; Witten 16]

3-tensor models with distinguishable indices: large N limit described by iterated "melonic" graphs [Gurau]

- multiple M5-brane theory should admit large N expansion
 - suggested by 11d M-theory corrections to its anomalies [Harvey et al 98; AT 00; Beccaria, AT 15]

and its free energy [Gubser, Klebanov, AT 98]

- first consider just free 3-plet tensor multiplet CFT regardless its connection to M5-brane – should have AdS_7 dual

- More generally: study 3-plet version of AdS/CFT
 - for any free CFT_d in 3-plet representation

- **Aim:** study singlet large N partition function in free scalar 3-plet CFT (scalar case is general enough)

- describe spectrum of “single-trace” operators in 3-plet case, growth with N , possible phase transitions, etc.

- compare to vector and adjoint rep cases

Partition function with singlet constraint

- free complex scalar CFT: $L = \int d^d x \partial^m \Phi_{ijk}^* \partial_m \Phi_{ijk}$
- singlet constraint may be implemented by coupling to flat $U(N)$ connection and integrating over its non-trivial holonomy on S^1 – over constant $U \in U(N)$
- for rep R singlet part function $Z(x)$, $x = e^{-\beta}$ given by matrix U integral with "action" depending on $\chi_R(U)$ and one-particle partition function $z_\Phi(x)$
- singlet partition function of CFT on $R \times S^{d-1}$

$$Z = \sum_{\text{singlets}} x^E, \quad x = e^{-\beta}, \quad \beta = 1/T$$

E of states on S^{d-1} = dimensions Δ of operators in \mathbb{R}^d

- single-particle partition function

$$z_{\Phi}(x) = \sum_i x^{E_i}$$

counts states created by Φ and its descendants mod e.o.m.

– character of corresponding rep of conf group

- for scalar, Weyl fermion, 4d vector, 6d self-dual tensor

$$z_{S,d}(x) = \frac{x^{\frac{d}{2}-1}(1+x)}{(1-x)^{d-1}}, \quad z_{F,d}(x) = \frac{2^{\frac{d}{2}} x^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{(1-x)^{d-1}}$$

$$z_{V,4}(x) = \frac{6x^2 - 2x^3}{(1-x)^3}, \quad z_{T,6}(x) = \frac{10x^3 - 5x^4 + x^5}{(1-x)^5}$$

- for single boson Φ in a real rep R of $U(N)$ (e.g. $R = N \oplus \bar{N}$)

$$Z = \sum_{n_1 \geq 0} x^{n_1 E_1} \sum_{n_2 \geq 0} x^{n_2 E_2} \dots \# \text{ singlets } \text{sym}^{n_1}(R) \otimes \text{sym}^{n_2}(R) \dots$$

- singlet constraint: by integrating over the symmetry group

$$Z = \int dU \prod_i \sum_{n_i \geq 0} x^{n_i E_i} \chi_{\text{sym}^{n_i}(R_i)}(U)$$

- using explicit form of χ of $\text{sym}^n(R)$ [Skagerstam 83]

$$Z = \int dU \exp \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} z_{\Phi}(x^m) \chi_R(U^m) \right\}, \quad z_{\Phi}(x) = \sum_i x^{E_i}$$

in boson + fermion case $z(x^m) \rightarrow z_B(x^m) + (-1)^{m+1} z_F(x^m)$

• Examples of χ_R :

vector : $N \oplus \bar{N}$ $\chi_R = \text{tr}(U) + \text{tr}(U^\dagger)$

adjoint : $\chi_R = \text{tr}(U) \text{tr}(U^\dagger)$

3-plet : $N^{\otimes 3} \oplus \bar{N}^{\otimes 3}$ $\chi_R = [\text{tr}(U)]^3 + [\text{tr}(U^\dagger)]^3$

p -plet: product of p fundamentals: $R = N^{\otimes p} \oplus \bar{N}^{\otimes p}$

$$\chi_{N^{\otimes p} \oplus \bar{N}^{\otimes p}}(U) = [\text{tr}(U)]^p + [\text{tr}(U^\dagger)]^p$$

(anti) symmetric product

$$\chi_{(N \otimes N \otimes N)_{(\text{anti})\text{sym}}}(U) = \frac{1}{6} [\chi_N(U)]^3 \pm \frac{1}{2} \chi_N(U) \chi_N(U^2) + \frac{1}{3} \chi_N(U^3)$$

Derivation from scalar partition function on $S^1_\beta \times S^{d-1}$:

singlet projection implemented by coupling Φ to a $A_\mu = U^{-1} \partial_\mu U$

constant part of A_0 cannot be gauged away

e.g. in vector case: complex $U(N)$ scalars Φ_i ($t \in (0, \beta)$)

$$\partial_t^2 \rightarrow (\partial_t + A_0)^2, \quad A_0 = U^{-1} \partial_0 U, \quad U(t) = \text{diag}(e^{i\frac{\alpha_1}{\beta} t}, \dots, e^{i\frac{\alpha_N}{\beta} t})$$

$$Z = \int \prod_{k=1}^N d\alpha_k e^{-\tilde{F}(\alpha, \beta)}, \quad \tilde{F} = - \sum_{i \neq j}^N \ln \left| \sin \frac{\alpha_i - \alpha_j}{2} \right| + \bar{F}(\alpha, \beta)$$

$$\bar{F} = \ln \det \left[-(\partial_t + A_0)^2 + \Delta_{S^{d-1}} \right] = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k,n}^{\infty} d_n \ln \left[\frac{(2\pi k + \alpha_i)^2}{\beta^2} + \omega_n^2 \right]$$

$$\bar{F} = - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} b_m(\alpha) z_\Phi(m\beta), \quad b_m(\alpha) = \chi_R(U^m(\beta)) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^N \cos m\alpha_i$$

$N = \infty$ limit of low T expansion of singlet Z

- expand U integral in powers of $x = e^{-\beta}$, then take $N \rightarrow \infty$
- vector and adjoint: low T , $N = \infty$ expansion is convergent
- 3-plet case: expansion is only asymptotic ($x_c = 0$)
- reason – rapid growth of # of singlets with dimension:
phase transition at small $T_c \sim (\log N)^{-1} \rightarrow 0$
i.e. low T phase effectively shrinks to $T = 0$ for $N = \infty$
- $N = \infty$ limit: counting of singlet states simplifies
 Z expressed in terms of the "single-trace" $Z_{\text{s.t.}}(x) =$
counting only fully-connected (indecomp.) contractions

$$\log Z(x) \equiv \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} Z_{\text{s.t.}}(x^m)$$

Vector and adjoint representation cases

- **vector case**: singlets in $\text{sym}^n(N \oplus \bar{N})$ products of bilinears

e.g. bilinear singlets $\sum_{ss'} c_{ss'} \sum_i \partial^s \bar{\Phi}_i \partial^{s'} \Phi_i$.

“single-trace” partition function is square of single-particle one

$$Z_{\text{s.t.}}^{\text{vec}}(x) = [z_{\Phi}(x)]^2$$

all singlets – $N = \infty$ singlet partition function

$$\log Z^{\text{vec}} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} [z_{\Phi}(x^m)]^2$$

- **adjoint case**: singlets as products of single-trace operators

Z for single-trace ops from Polya enumeration theorem

[Sundborg 99; Polyakov 01]

$$Z_{\text{s.t.}}^{\text{adj}} = - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(m)}{m} \log [1 - z_{\Phi}(x^m)]$$

$\varphi(m)$ – Euler's totient function counting positive integers up to a given integer m that are relatively prime to m

- $N = \infty$ singlet partition function – all multi-trace singlets

$$\log Z^{\text{adj}} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} Z_{\text{s.t.}}^{\text{adj}}(x^m) = - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \log [1 - z_{\Phi}(x^m)]$$

AdS/CFT perspective:

- vector case: bilinear primaries – massless HS in AdS
total partition function matches 1-loop AdS partition function [Shenker, Yin 11; Giombi, Klebanov, AT 14; Beccaria, AT 14]
- adjoint case: single traces – towers of massless and massive HS in AdS; on group-theoretic basis expect to match multi-particle Z with its AdS counterpart [Bae, Joung, Lal 16]

Low temperature expansion of Z and counting of operators

expansion of Z in $x = e^{-\beta}$ encodes counting of singlets

$$Z = \int dU \exp \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} z_{\Phi}(x^m) \chi_R(U^m) \right\}, \quad z_{\Phi}(x) = \sum_i x^{E_i}$$

$$I(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \int dU \prod_{\ell \geq 1} (\text{tr } U^{\ell})^{a_{\ell}} \overline{(\text{tr } U^{\ell})^{b_{\ell}}} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{\ell \geq 1} \ell^{a_{\ell}} a_{\ell}! \delta_{a_{\ell}, b_{\ell}}$$

- vector case: if Φ is 4d scalar with $z_{\Phi}(x) = z_{S,4}(x) = \frac{x((1+x))}{(1-x)^3}$

$$Z_{S,4}^{\text{vec}} = 1 + x^2 + 8x^3 + 35x^4 + 112x^5 + 330x^6 + 944x^7 + \dots$$

$N \rightarrow \infty$ and $x \rightarrow 0$ commute; ∞ conv. radius: $T_c \sim N^{\gamma} \rightarrow \infty$

- adjoint case: more operators at higher dimensions

$$Z_{S,4}^{\text{adj}} = 1 + x + 6x^2 + 20x^3 + 75x^4 + 252x^5 + 914x^6 + 3160x^7 + \dots$$

finite radius of convergence: $T_c \sim N^0 \sim 1$

Comparison to direct counting of operators:

(i) vector case: “single-trace” partition function

$$\text{4d scalar } z_{S,4}(x) = \frac{x(1+x)}{(1-x)^3}, \quad [z_{S,4}(x)]^2 = x^2 + 8x^3 + \dots:$$

dim 2: one operator $\bar{\varphi}_i \varphi_i$

dim 4: 4 + 4 operators $\bar{\varphi}_i \partial_\mu \varphi_i$ and $\partial_\mu \bar{\varphi}_i \varphi_i$

(ii) adjoint case: single-trace $Z_{\text{s.t.}}^{\text{adj}} = x + 5x^2 + \dots:$

dim 1: one operator $\text{tr}(\varphi)$

dim 2: 1 + 4 = 5 operators $\text{tr}(\varphi^2)$ and $\partial_\mu \text{tr}(\varphi)$

(iii) **3-plet representation:**

large N limit of small x expansion of Z for 4d scalar

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{S,4}^{3\text{-plet}} &= 1 + 6x^2 + 48x^3 + 396x^4 + 3504x^5 + 35580x^6 \\ &+ 381216x^7 + 4408956x^8 + 53647632x^9 + 689785308x^{10} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

symmetric (+) or antisymmetric (−) 3-index reps

$$Z_{S,4}^{3\text{-plet}^+} = 1 + x^2 + 8x^3 + 36x^4 + 120x^5 + 404x^6 + 1368x^7 + \dots$$

$$Z_{S,4}^{3\text{-plet}^-} = 1 + x^2 + 8x^3 + 36x^4 + 120x^5 + 403x^6 + 1360x^7 + \dots$$

fewer operators as some contractions become equivalent

compare to direct counting of operators:

- dim 2: singlets built out of scalar $\Phi = (\varphi_{ijk})$

$(\bar{\varphi} \varphi) = \bar{\varphi}_{ijk} \varphi^{i'j'k'}$, $i'j'k' =$ permutation of ijk : $3! = 6$ different

- dim 3: singlets $(\bar{\varphi} \partial_\mu \varphi)$, $(\partial_\mu \bar{\varphi} \varphi)$, $2 \times 4 \times 6 = 48$ operators

- dim 4: bilinears: $(\bar{\varphi} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \varphi)$, $(\partial_\mu \partial_\nu \bar{\varphi} \varphi)$, $(\partial_\mu \bar{\varphi} \partial_\nu \varphi)$

ignoring $\sim \partial^\mu \partial_\mu \varphi = 0$ $(9 \times 2 + 4 \times 4) \times 6 = 204$ operators

quartic: (i) reducible contraction $(\bar{\varphi} \varphi)(\bar{\varphi} \varphi)$: $\frac{1}{2} \times 6 \times 7 = 21$

(ii) irreducible "single-trace" $(\bar{\varphi}\varphi\bar{\varphi}\varphi)$, $\bar{\varphi}_{ijk}\varphi_{ijl} = X_{kl}$

$3^2 \times 2 = 18$ X , contracting $\frac{1}{2} \times 18 \times 19 = 171$

dim 4 singlets: $204 + 21 + 171 = 396$ in agreement with x^4 term

• similar results in 6d, for fields of tensor multiplet, etc.

Comparing 3-plet case to adjoint case:

• number of singlets grows much faster with dim of operator
implies non-convergence of small x expansion of Z

• analog of "Hagedorn" transition in adjoint case

happens at much lower $T_c \sim (\log N)^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ at $N \rightarrow \infty$

Closed expression for low T expansion of Z at $N \rightarrow \infty$

$$Z = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{z_{\Phi}(x^m)}{m} \right)^k \int dU [\chi_R(U^m)]^k$$

for p -plet $N^{\otimes p}$ rep of $U(N)$: $\chi_R(U) = [\text{tr}(U)]^p + [\text{tr}(U^{\dagger})]^p$

$$Z^{p\text{-plet}} = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} F_p(m^{p-2} [z_{\Phi}(x^m)]^2)$$

$$F_p(y) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k y^k, \quad b_k = \frac{(pk)!}{(k!)^2}, \quad p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

e.g. for $p = 1$ and $p = 2$: $F_1(y) = e^y$, $F_2(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4y}}$

$$\log Z^{1\text{-plet}} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} [z_{\Phi}(x^m)]^2,$$

$$\log Z^{2\text{-plet}} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_m \log (1 - 4 [z_{\Phi}(x^m)]^2)$$

$$\log Z^{\text{adj}} = - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \log [1 - z_{\Phi}(x^m)]$$

- series F_p no longer converges starting with $p = 3$
- for $p \geq 3$ get only formal generating function for the spectrum
- $p = 3$: "resum" the series by replacing $(3k)!$ in b_k by $\int_0^{\infty} dt e^{-t} t^{3k}$

$$F_3(y) \rightarrow \tilde{F}_3(y) = \frac{1}{6} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{3} y^{-1}} e^{-\frac{1}{54} y^{-1}} \left[I_{\frac{1}{6}} \left(\frac{1}{54} y^{-1} \right) + I_{-\frac{1}{6}} \left(\frac{1}{54} y^{-1} \right) \right]$$

$\tilde{F}_3(y)$ has a branch cut on negative real axis, smooth for $y \geq 0$
power series defining $F_3(y)$ is asymptotic expansion of $\tilde{F}_3(y)$
alternative: Borel resummation of $F_3(y)$

$$\tilde{F}_3^B(y) = \int_0^{\infty} dt e^{-t} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_k}{k!} (yt)^k = \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{-\frac{1}{3\pi} y^{-1}} e^{-\frac{1}{54} y^{-1}} K_{\frac{1}{6}} \left(-\frac{1}{54} y^{-1} \right)$$

- e.g. for 4d scalar in 3-plet representation

$$Z_{S,4}^{3\text{-plet}} = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(3k)!}{(k!)^2} m^k [z_{\Phi}(x^m)]^{2k}, \quad z_{\Phi}(x) = \frac{x(1+x)}{(1-x)^3}$$

- same expression for other fields with corresponding z_{Φ}
encodes number of singlet operators built out of Φ in 3-plet rep
- similar expression for p -tensor with distinguished indices
transforming under separate $U(N)$'s:
singlet Z found by gauging the full $[U(N)]^p$ group;
less singlet operators but again large N limit of small x
expansion of Z becomes only asymptotic starting with $p = 3$

Large N partition function and phase transitions

rapid growth of # of states with dim of $U(N)$ rep

recall adjoint case: Z diverges when $z_\Phi(x) = 1 \rightarrow x_c = e^{-\beta_c}$

$$\log Z^{\text{adj}} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} Z_{\text{s.t.}}^{\text{adj}}(x^m) = - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \log [1 - z_\Phi(x^m)]$$

well defined for $\beta > \beta_c$, diverges $Z \sim (\beta - \beta_c)^{-1}$ for $\beta \rightarrow \beta_c$

cf. Hagedorn behaviour $\rho(E) \simeq e^{\beta_c E}$, $Z = \int dE \rho(E) x^E$

• higher T : find dominant distribution of eigenvalues of U

$N \rightarrow \infty$ distribution approximated by density $\rho(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in (-\pi, \pi)$

$$\rho(\alpha) \geq 0, \quad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\alpha \rho(\alpha) = 1.$$

• transition from phase where $\rho > 0$ on $(-\pi, \pi)$

to phase where $\rho > 0$ only on $(-\alpha_0, \alpha_0) \subset (-\pi, \pi)$

- transition: balance measure term $\sim N^2$ and character term

$$N^2 \sim N^p z_{\Phi}(x_c), \quad x_c = e^{-1/T_c}$$

$p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ for the vector, adjoint, 3-plet representation, etc.

- vector case: $x_c \rightarrow 1$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ and since $z_{\Phi}(x) \stackrel{x \rightarrow 1}{\sim} T^{d-1}$

$$T_c^{\text{vec}} \sim N^{\frac{1}{d-1}} \rightarrow \infty$$

- adjoint case: T_c is independent of N

$$T_c^{\text{adj}} \sim 1$$

- 3-plet case: T_c vanishes as $N \rightarrow \infty$, e.g. for a scalar

$$T_c^{\text{3-plet}} \sim \frac{d-2}{2} \frac{1}{\log N} \rightarrow 0$$

similar for other fields, e.g. 6d tensor multiplet $T_c^{3\text{-plet}} \sim \frac{10}{\log N}$.

- Summary: at large N 1st order discontinuous transition between “low T ” phase with $\rho > 0$ everywhere on $(-\pi, \pi)$ and “high T ” phase with $\rho > 0$ only for $|\alpha| \leq \alpha_0$ with $\alpha_0 \sim (N z_\Phi)^{-1/2}$; transition point at $(N z_\Phi)_c = \frac{9}{16}$ for any T for sufficiently large N get “high T ” phase

3-plet case – large N : $T_c \rightarrow 0$: low T phase is shrinking

Large N limit in terms of eigenvalue density

integration over U in terms of eigenvalues $\{e^{i\alpha_i}\}$ ($-\pi < \alpha_i \leq \pi$)

$$Z = \int d\boldsymbol{\alpha} e^{-S(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, x)}, \quad \int dU = \prod_{i=1}^N \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\alpha_i \prod_{i < j} \sin^2 \frac{\alpha_i - \alpha_j}{2}$$

$$S(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, x) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \log \sin^2 \frac{\alpha_i - \alpha_j}{2} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m(x) \mathcal{V}(m\boldsymbol{\alpha})$$

$$c_m \equiv -\frac{1}{m} z_{\Phi}(x^m), \quad \mathcal{V}^{\text{vec}}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = 2 \sum_i^N \cos \alpha_i$$

$$\mathcal{V}^{\text{adj}}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \sum_{i,j}^N \cos(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)$$

$$\mathcal{V}^{\text{3-plet}}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = 2 \sum_{i,j,k}^N \cos(\alpha_i + \alpha_j + \alpha_k)$$

integration over $\alpha \rightarrow \rho(\alpha)$ periodic on $\alpha \in (-\pi, \pi)$

$$S(\rho, x) = S_M(\rho) + V(\rho, x), \quad \rho(\alpha) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \delta(\alpha - \alpha_n)$$

$$S_M = N^2 \int d\alpha d\alpha' K(\alpha - \alpha') \rho(\alpha) \rho(\alpha'),$$

$$K(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{2} \log(2 - 2 \cos \alpha) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \cos(m\alpha)$$

$$V^{\text{vec}} = 2N \int d\alpha \rho(\alpha) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m(x) \cos(m\alpha)$$

$$V^{\text{adj}} = N^2 \int d\alpha d\alpha' \rho(\alpha) \rho(\alpha') \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m(x) \cos[m(\alpha - \alpha')]$$

$$V^{3\text{-pl}} = 2N^3 \int d\alpha d\alpha' d\alpha'' \rho(\alpha) \rho(\alpha') \rho(\alpha'') \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m(x) \cos[m(\alpha + \alpha' + \alpha'')]$$

Vector and adjoint cases

expand $\rho(\alpha)$ in Fourier modes

$$\rho(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{N} \left[\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \rho_m^+ \cos(m\alpha) + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \rho_m^- \sin(m\alpha) \right]$$

$$S_M = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} [(\rho_m^+)^2 + (\rho_m^-)^2]$$

$$V^{\text{vec}} = 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m \rho_m^+, \quad V^{\text{adj}} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m [(\rho_m^+)^2 + (\rho_m^-)^2]$$

• vector case: action is stationary at

$$\rho_m^+ = -m c_m = z_{\Phi}(x^m), \quad \rho_m^- = 0$$

get same expression for Z as by Gaussian integral over ρ_m^\pm

$$\log Z^{\text{vec}} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} [z_\Phi(x^m)]^2$$

• adjoint case: integrating over ρ_m^\pm

$$S^{\text{adj}} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - z_\Phi(x^m)}{m} [(\rho_m^+)^2 + (\rho_m^-)^2]$$

$$\log Z^{\text{adj}} = - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \log [1 - z_\Phi(x^m)]$$

- small T : small x and $z_\Phi(x)$ near $\rho = \text{const}$ expansion is ok
- larger T : transition where ρ is zero only on $(-\alpha_0, \alpha_0) \subset (-\pi, \pi)$

vector case: transition at $T_c \sim N^{\frac{1}{d-1}} \gg 1$

adjoint case: critical T from condition $z_\Phi(x) = 1 \rightarrow T_c \sim 1$

- low T phase: action and Z not depend on $N \gg 1$: $\log Z \sim N^0$
- $T > T_c$ phase: stationary point solution for $\rho(\alpha)$
 due to a balance between measure and potential:
 action at stationary point scales as measure term $\sim N^2$
 i.e. in high T phase: $\log Z \sim N^2$

3-plet case

$$S = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} [(\rho_m^+)^2 + (\rho_m^-)^2] + V^{3\text{-plet}}(\rho^\pm, x)$$

$$V^{3\text{-plet}} = -2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} z_\Phi(x^m) [(\rho_m^+)^3 - 3 \rho_m^+ (\rho_m^-)^2]$$

- action unbounded from below – integral over ρ_m^\pm diverges
- $\rho = \frac{1}{2\pi} = \text{const}$ is saddle not minimum even at low T

- ρ_m^\pm may be large – violating positivity of $\rho(\alpha)$
- phase transition: ρ^3 potential becomes of order ρ^2 measure
condition: $N^2 \sim N^p z_\Phi(x)$, $p = 1, 2, 3$
- vector case: measure term $\sim N^2$ against potential $\sim N z_\Phi(x)$
- adjoint case: both terms are of the same order $\sim N^2$
- 3-plet case: potential scales as $N^3 z_\Phi(x)$ and get $N^2 \sim N^3 z_\Phi(x)$
- here low T phase shrinking with increasing N : $T_c \rightarrow 0$
action and $\log Z$ scaling as N^2 at stationary-point solution

Solution for eigenvalue density at large N

stationary point condition in terms of $\rho(\alpha)$:

$$\int d\alpha' \rho(\alpha') \cot \frac{\alpha - \alpha'}{2}$$
$$= 6N \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} z_{\Phi}(x^m) \int d\alpha' d\alpha'' \rho(\alpha') \rho(\alpha'') \sin [m(\alpha + \alpha' + \alpha'')]$$

assume ρ is symmetric and supported on $(-\alpha_0, \alpha_0)$

$$\int d\alpha' \rho(\alpha') \cot \frac{\alpha - \alpha'}{2} = 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m \rho_m^2 \sin(m\alpha),$$

$$a_m = 3N z_{\Phi}(x^m), \quad \rho_m = \int d\alpha \rho(\alpha) \cos(m\alpha)$$

$$\rho(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\sin^2 \frac{\alpha_0}{2} - \sin^2 \frac{\alpha}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Q_k \cos \left[\left(k - \frac{1}{2} \right) \alpha \right]$$

$$Q_k = 2 \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} a_{k+\ell} \rho_{k+\ell}^2 P_{\ell}(\cos \alpha_0)$$

- model with just one harmonic ρ_1 : good for large β when $x = e^{-\beta} \ll 1$ and a_m decreases with m

$$u \equiv \sin^2 \frac{\alpha_0}{2}, \quad \alpha_0 = \left[\frac{3}{2} N z_{\Phi}(e^{-\beta}) \right]^{-1/2} + \dots$$

- for each temperature and N such that $N z_{\Phi}(e^{-\beta}) > \frac{9}{16}$

$$\rho(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\pi \sin^2 \frac{\alpha_0}{2}} \sqrt{u - \sin^2 \frac{\alpha}{2}} \cos \frac{\alpha}{2}$$

$$|\alpha| < \alpha_0; \quad \rho(\alpha) = 0, \quad \alpha_0 < |\alpha| \leq \pi$$

$$\frac{3}{2} u (2 - u)^2 = \left[N z_{\Phi}(e^{-\beta}) \right]^{-1}$$

for $\frac{9}{16} < N z_{\Phi}(e^{-\beta}) < \frac{2}{3}$ 2 solutions $0 < u_1 < u_2 < 1$

- conclusions supported by numerical analysis

Summary

- singlet partition function Z of free CFT in higher reps: for rank ≥ 3 tensors # of singlet states/operators grows so fast with energy/dimension that small T expansion of Z has 0 radius of convergence in $N = \infty$ limit
- reflected in critical $T_c^{3\text{-plet}} \sim \frac{1}{\log N} \rightarrow 0$ at $N \rightarrow \infty$
- for large but finite N get two phases: $T < T_c$ and $T > T_c$
 $F = -\log Z \sim N^2$ in high T phase (for all reps)
- similar behaviour for singlet Z of p -fundamental rep of $U(N)$ and for $[U(N)]^p$ invariant p -tensors with inequivalent indices

- AdS dual of free p -plet or p -tensor CFT ?

rich spectrum: infinite towers of massive HS fields in AdS
in addition to massless HS tower (present as in vector case)

cf. "tensionless string" spectrum in adjoint case;

"tensionless membrane" spectrum in $p = 3$ case?

- dual AdS action? inverse coupling $\sim N^3$ to match

large N correlation functions in free 3-plet CFT

- low- T phase: large N free energy $F = 1\text{-loop } \log Z$
of all HS fields in thermal AdS $\sim N^0$ – to match F in CFT

- high- T phase: boundary CFT free energy $F \sim N^2$

(i) adjoint case (AdS action $\sim N^2$):

agrees with AdS black hole free energy/entropy scaling for

finite (in AdS units) size BH: $T_c \sim T_H \sim 1$ [Witten 98]

(ii) vectorial case (AdS action $\sim N$):

$T_c \sim N^\gamma \rightarrow \infty$: high T phase is not attainable

classical thermal object would give $F \sim N$ not N^2

$T_c \sim T_H \rightarrow \infty$: BH of 0 (Planck length) size [Shenker, Yin 11]
[cf. no stable AdS-Schwarzschild BH solution in HS theory]

3-plet case:

- 1-loop Z in thermal AdS for full spectrum

of AdS fields dual to singlet operators in large N limit

(i) should also be given by asymptotic series matching
low- T phase expression for boundary $\log Z \sim N^0$

(ii) in high- T phase $\log Z \sim N^2$

while possible contribution from classical AdS action $\sim N^3$

$T_c \sim T_H \sim (\log N)^{-1} \rightarrow 0$:

as if size of BH is of order of AdS scale

$N \rightarrow \infty$: no low- T phase, only high- T (opposite to vector)

- these conclusions may change in interacting 3-plet CFT ?

Examples? in 3d?

T_c may become finite at non-trivial large N fixed point ?

- $(2,0)$ tensor multiplet theory in 6d should have

AdS₇ dual with a supergravity limit in the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit

admitting BHs and thus predicting N^3 scaling of free energy